

Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid (Platanthera leucophaea) in Ontario

Ontario Recovery Strategy Series

Recovery strategy prepared under the Endangered Species Act, 2007

February 2010

Natural. Valued. Protected.



# About the Ontario Recovery Strategy Series

This series presents the collection of recovery strategies that are prepared or adopted as advice to the Province of Ontario on the recommended approach to recover species at risk. The Province ensures the preparation of recovery strategies to meet its commitments to recover species at risk under the Endangered Species Act, 2007 (ESA, 2007) and the Accord for the Protection of Species at Risk in Canada.

#### What is recovery?

Recovery of species at risk is the process by which the decline of an endangered, threatened, or extirpated species is arrested or reversed, and threats are removed or reduced to improve the likelihood of a species' persistence in the wild.

#### What is a recovery strategy?

Under the ESA, 2007, a recovery strategy provides the best available scientific knowledge onwhat is required to achieve recovery of a species. A recovery strategy outlines the habitat needs and the threats to the survival and recovery of the species. It also makes recommendations on the objectives for protection and recovery, the approaches to achieve those objectives, and the area that should be considered in the development of a habitat regulation. Sections 11 to 15 of the ESA, 2007 outline the required content and timelines for developing recovery strategies published in this series.

Recovery strategies are required to be prepared for endangered and threatened species within one or two years respectively of the species being added to the Species at Risk in Ontario list. There is a transition period of five years (until June 30, 2013) to develop recovery strategies for those species listed as endangered or threatened in the schedules of the ESA, 2007. Recovery strategies are required to be prepared for extirpated species only if reintroduction is considered feasible.

#### What's next?

Nine months after the completion of a recovery strategy a government response statement will be published which summarizes the actions that the Government of Ontario intends to take in response to the strategy. The implementation of recovery strategies depends on the continued cooperation and actions of government agencies, individuals, communities, land users, and conservationists.

#### For more information

To learn more about species at risk recovery in Ontario, please visit the Ministry of Natural Resources Species at Risk webpage at: www.ontario.ca/speciesatrisk

### **RECOMMENDED CITATION**

Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid Recovery Team. 2010. Recovery strategy for the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*) in Ontario. Ontario Recovery Strategy Series. Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Peterborough, Ontario. vi + 30 pp.

Cover illustration: M.J. Oldham, NHIC Archives

© Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2010 ISBN 978-1-4435-0907-7 (PDF)

Content (excluding the cover illustration) may be used without permission, with appropriate credit to the source.

### AUTHORS

This recovery strategy was developed by the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid Recovery Team.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Members of the recovery team wish to thank Melinda Thompson-Black of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources for drafting the original recovery strategy in 2004, as well as Jacquie Corley, Brenda Van Sleeuwen, Holly Bickerton, Karen Hartley, Anita Imrie, Don Cuddy, and Sarah Weber for additional writing and editing assistance. Thanks also to the many individuals who provided technical expertise to assist in developing the recovery strategy for this species, including Marlin Bowles of the Morton Arboretum, June Keibler of The Nature Conservancy, Dr. Larry Zettler of Illinois College and Dr. Pati Vitt of the Chicago Botanic Garden. Thanks very much to Kristopher Lah of the Illinois U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for providing numerous reports and information relating to the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid.

### DECLARATION

The Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources has led the development of this recovery strategy for the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid in accordance with the requirements of the *Endangered Species Act, 2007* (ESA 2007). This recovery strategy has been prepared as advice to the Government of Ontario, other responsible jurisdictions and the many different constituencies that may be involved in recovering the species.

The recovery strategy does not necessarily represent the views of all of the individuals who provided advice or contributed to its preparation or the official positions of the organizations with which the individuals are associated.

The goals, objectives and recovery approaches identified in the strategy are based on the best available knowledge and are subject to revision as new information becomes available. Implementation of this strategy is subject to appropriations, priorities and budgetary constraints of the participating jurisdictions and organizations.

Success in the recovery of this species depends on the commitment and cooperation of many different constituencies that will be involved in implementing the directions set out in this strategy.

### **RESPONSIBLE JURISDICTIONS**

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources Environment Canada, Canadian Wildlife Service – Ontario Parks Canada Agency

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*) is a tall perennial orchid that has been documented in Canada at only 32 sites in Ontario, of which only 21 are believed to be extant. Many of these extant populations, however, are very small, and only a few are believed to be large enough to be viable. The Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid is considered to be globally imperiled; it is rare and declining throughout its range in eastern North America. It is also listed as endangered on the Species at Risk in Ontario (SARO) List, as federally endangered in Canada by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) and as federally threatened in the United States. Some of the largest global populations of this plant are found in Ontario.

The Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid requires full sunlight and little competition from surrounding vegetation, circumneutral soils and moist conditions. At known sites in Ontario, it grows in a variety of microhabitats in three general areas: fens, tallgrass prairie and moist old fields.

The main threats to this species are habitat loss due to development and agriculture, competition from invasive species, modifications to drainage for agriculture or development, changes to nutrient regimes, damage by recreational vehicles, trampling by humans, successional change and herbivory (grazing by animals). Additional possible threats are hybridization and inbreeding due to small population sizes.

This orchid has always been relatively rare throughout its range and has highly specific habitat preferences. Therefore, the recovery goal for the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid is to prevent any loss of populations and habitat, to reverse the declining population trend at extant locations, to restore occurrences at historic sites where appropriate and to manage habitats for this species. The recovery objectives outlined to achieve this goal are as follows:

- 1. Protect Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid populations, habitat and habitat functionality at all extant locations.
- 2. Report regularly on the status of this species, using the best available scientific information.
- 3. Reduce or eliminate threats at extant sites.
- 4. Conduct research on Canadian populations of this species to address knowledge gaps.
- 5. Restore habitat and reintroduce the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid where appropriate and feasible.

The report contains a table outlining the specific actions and performance measures needed to reach these goals and objectives.

It is recommended that areas with current or verified historic occurrences of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid be considered in the development of a habitat regulation, that the habitat boundaries be delineated at a site-specific level on the basis of habitat descriptions provided in this strategy, and that the habitat regulation be written such that it is flexible enough to immediately protect newly discovered occurrences, using a similar, site-specific approach.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

RECOMMENDED CITATION	i
AUTHORS	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ii
DECLARATION	iii
RESPONSIBLE JURISDICTIONS	iii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iv
1.0 BACKGROUND information	1
1.1 Species Assessment and Classification	1
1.2 Species Description	1
1.3 Distribution, Abundance and Population Trends	1
1.4 Habitat and Biological Needs	4
1.4.1 Habitat needs	4
1.4.2 Biological needs	4
1.4.3 Ecological role	5
1.4.4 Limiting factors	5
1.5 Threats to Survival and Recovery	6
1.6 Recovery Actions Completed or Under Way	11
1.7 Knowledge Gaps	13
2.0 RECOVERY	16
2.1 Recovery Goal	16
2.2 Protection and Recovery Objectives	16
2.3 Approaches to Recovery	17
2.4 Performance Measures	20
2.5 Area for Consideration in Developing a Habitat Regulation	20
2.6 Effects on Other Species	23
GLOSSARY	25
REFERENCES	26
RECOVERY strategy development TEAM MEMBERS	30

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Current global	distribution of Easte	rn Prairie Fringed-orchid	2
Figure 2.	Historical and	current distribution ir	o Ontario	3

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Threat classification for Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid in Ontario	6
Table 2. Protection and recovery objectives	16
Table 3. Approaches to recovery of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid in Ontario	17
Table 4. Performance measures for evaluating recovery success	20

## 1.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

### **1.1 Species Assessment and Classification**

COMMON NAME: Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid				
SCIENTIFIC NAME: Platanthera leucophaea				
SARO List Classification: Endangered				
SARO List History: Endangered (2008), Endangered – Not Regulated (2004)				
COSEWIC Assessment History: Endangered (2003), Special Concern (1986)				
SARA Schedule 1: Endangered (June 5, 2003)				
CONSERVATION STATUS RANKINGS GRANK: G3	S: NRANK: N2	SRANK: S2		

The glossary provides definitions for the abbreviations above.

### **1.2 Species Description**

The Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*), a perennial species of wetland and prairie habitats (COSEWIC 2003), belongs to the genus *Platanthera*, which comprises approximately 200 species that are restricted to North America (COSEWIC 2003). Typically reaching a height of 50 to 100 centimetres, this orchid is characterized by a single terminal flowering spike consisting of 10 to 40 creamy white, showy flowers. Flowers range from 1.8 to 2.5 centimetres in width and have a prominent lip (lower petal) consisting of three notably fringed segments. Leaves are long, usually 8 to 20 centimetres, and oval or spear-shaped, and they occur alternately along the stem. Flowering occurs from late June to late July and proceeds sequentially along the flowering stalk, beginning at the base. In late July, locating flowers or identifying the species in areas where hybrids are present is challenging. The plant produces seed capsules containing thousands of tiny seeds in late August and early September (Environment Canada 2006, COSEWIC 2003, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999).

### **1.3 Distribution, Abundance and Population Trends**

Globally, the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid has been recorded in only one Canadian province and 13 American states (figure 1). The range of this orchid is centred in the Great Lakes region and extends west to Iowa, south to Illinois, Indiana and Ohio, east to

Virginia and north to Ontario. A disjunct population occurs in Maine (COSEWIC 2003, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999).



Figure 1. Current global distribution of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid (Source: Flora of North America 2008)

The Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid is ranked globally as imperilled (G2) (NatureServe 2009). It is either extirpated (SX) or historically documented (SH) from 4 of 13 states

where it is found and is ranked as S1, S2, or S2S3 in the remainder (NatureServe 2009). In Ontario it is listed as imperilled (S2). The species has been listed as federally threatened in the United States since 1989 (Oldham 2000).

In Canada, the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid is restricted to southern and eastern Ontario (NHIC 2007). Today, 21 populations of a total of 32 reported occurrences are believed to be current or extant in Ontario (figure 2), and the remaining 11 are considered historic (not confirmed for 20 years) or extirpated (COSEWIC 2003). Despite the apparently large number of occurrences, however, many of these populations are extremely small and may not be viable (Brownell & Catling 2000).

The four largest populations are very widely separated. The largest population in Ontario (and Canada) is located in Kent County, along the Chenal Ecarte. Other large populations are found in Bruce Peninsula National Park, Marlborough Forest (near Ottawa) and Minesing Swamp (Simcoe County).



Figure 2. Historical and current distribution of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid in Ontario

### 1.4 Habitat and Biological Needs

### 1.4.1 Habitat needs

The Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid requires open conditions with full sunlight for optimal growth and flowering, which restrict it to graminoid-dominated vegetation communities (Bowles 1993). The soils present in the locations it inhabits range from neutral to slightly calcareous (Bowles et al. 2005, Case 1987, Bowles 1983); the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid can tolerate pHs of between 5.3 and 7.5 (Zambrana Engineering Inc. 1998). This orchid is also found in a range of soil types, including deep, black calcareous silt loams, organic silty clay loams, and muck soils (Zambrana Engineering Inc. 1998).

The Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid is currently found in fens, along fluctuating limestone shoreline and in wet mesic prairie and old field habitat. In Ontario, this orchid occurs in six specific types of habitat (COSEWIC 2003):

- 1. Fens dominated by Wire Sedge (*Carex lasiocarpa*)
- 2. Fens dominated by Common Reed (*Phragmites australis*) and sedges (*Carex* spp.)
- 3. Poor fen mats around lakes dominated by sphagnum moss and ericaceous shrubs. Mats may contain marl below the raised acidic hummocks
- 4. Cobble limestone shore
- 5. Highly diverse wet mesic prairie with bluestem (*Andropogon* spp.) and other grasses
- 6. Old fields with Canada Bluegrass (*Poa compressa*), Woolly Sedge (*Carex pellita*), rushes (*Juncus* spp.) and early development of dogwood (*Cornus* spp.) shrubs

This orchid is infrequently found in old field situations. These habitats are not stable and tend to support short-lived populations that decline rapidly as succession by woody vegetation occurs. These habitats require management to maintain conditions favourable to the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid (Brownell 2002).

The Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid is adapted to fluctuations in water level, and it appears that the species can move back and forth along a gradient with fluctuating water levels. Studies of Ontario populations of this plant indicate that those found in fens and around lake margins can fluctuate annually by thousands of plants (COSEWIC 2003).

### 1.4.2 Biological needs

The pollination requirements of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid are extremely specific. For viable seed development to occur, this orchid depends on night-flying hawkmoths (Sphingidae) to pollinate its nocturnally fragrant flowers (Crosson et al. 1999, Cuthrell et al. 1999, Bowles 1983). Consequently, the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid's survival is vulnerable to population changes in these insects, which require

large tracts of land where they can obtain nectar from a variety of sources (Brownell & Catling 2000). The status of most hawkmoth species in Ontario has not been evaluated. Pollinator populations may be adversely affected by pesticides and loss of habitat (Bowles 1993).

As is the case for many orchids, seed germination in this species is contingent on the establishment of a mutually beneficial relationship between the roots of the orchid and a soil-inhabiting fungus, known as a mycorrhizal association (Chang et al. 2005, Bowles et al. 1992). Seedling survival is dependent on nutrients that mycorrhizal fungi supply (Zettler et al. 2001, Bowles et al. 2000). Since seedlings may not emerge above the soil for many years, this association is important in sustaining the plant before leaves develop and photosynthesis begins. The most common mycorrhizal associates for the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid belong to the genus *Ceratorhiza* (Zettler et al. 2001). Although germination and mycorrhizal associations for this species have been studied in the United States, specific requirements in Canada are not well known.

### 1.4.3 Ecological role

Specific details about the ecological role of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid have not been described. Its foliage and nectar are probably a source of food for herbivores and pollinators such as the species of hawkmoth that pollinates it. The fen and prairie habitats where this orchid is found are often habitats for other species at risk, and the protection of such habitats also benefits these other species.

### 1.4.4 Limiting factors

The extremely narrow habitat preference of this orchid means that the quantity of suitable habitat available to it is very low. Almost all of the established populations are found in fen and prairie habitats. Fens comprise less than a fraction of 1 percent of the total wetland area south of the Canadian Shield in Ontario (Riley 1989), and suitable community types of sufficient size are found in only a portion of these. The mesic to wet-mesic prairie habitat the species prefers is even further restricted in distribution, representing less than 0.1 percent of the landscape (COSEWIC 2003).

As previously mentioned, pollination of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid depends on night-flying hawkmoths, which require large tracts of land containing a high diversity of sources of nectar (COSEWIC 2003). The status of hawkmoth populations is largely unknown, but it is plausible that the availability of pollinators may limit the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid.

Certain life history characteristics may also limit this orchid's recovery. The plant does not reach reproductive maturity until it is approximately three to seven years old (Keibler et al. 1993). It may also undergo periods of dormancy (COSEWIC 2003), and some evidence suggests that this may have an effect on the number of flowering plants and even survival of the populations in subsequent years (Sieg and King 1995, Bowles et al. 1992, Bowles 1983).

Drought, can have drastic effects on population demography, which may limit the species. Extensive drying of soils at extant sites can result in dormancy of plants and, in some cases, mortality. This could result in population extinctions at some sites, especially those that are more upland in character.

### 1.5 Threats to Survival and Recovery

Table 1. Threat classification for Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid in Ontario

1	Habitat Loss	Threat Attributes			
Threat	Habitat loss or	Extent	Loca	alized	
Category	degradation		Local	Range-wide	
	Agricultural intensification; housing	Occurrence	Historic and anticipated	Unknown	
General Threat	development; road development or widening; changes in drainage patterns (drought and flooding threats)	Frequency	One-time		
	Conversion of habitat to	Causal Certainty	Hi	gh	
Specific Threat Other Use; fragmentation; modification of hydrological regimes		Severity	High		
Stress	Local extinctions; reduced habitat availability (which may already be limiting); loss of genetic diversity	Level of Concern	Hi	gh	
2 Invasive Species			Threat Attributes		
Threat	Exotic or invasive	Extent	Wides	spread	
Category	species		Local	Range-wide	
	Common Reed	Occurrence	Cur	rent	
General Threat	( <i>Rhamnus frangula</i> ); Glossy Buckthorn ( <i>Rhamnus frangula</i> ); Purple Loosestrife ( <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> ); Cattail ( <i>Typha</i> spp.)	Frequency	Recu	urrent	
Specific Threat	Alteration of open	Causal Certainty	Hi	gh	
	Prairie Fringed-orchid requires	Severity	High		
Stress	Reduced population size; local extinctions	Level of Concern	Hi	gh	

3	Recreation		Threat Attributes	
Threat	Disturbance or	Extent Localized		alized
Category	persecution		Local	Range-wide
General	Decrectional activity	Occurrence	Current	Anticipated
Threat	Recreational activity	Frequency	Recurrent	Unknown
Specific	ATV use; ATV trails;	Causal Certainty	Н	igh
Threat	or photographers	Severity	Moderate	
Stress	Physical damage to plants; reduced population size; local extirpation	Level of Concern	Medium	
4	Succession		Threat Attributes	
Threat	Natural processes or	Extent	Loca	alized
Category	activities		Local	Range-wide
General	Notural augassaisa	Occurrence	Current	Current
Threat	Natural succession	Frequency	Recurrent	Recurrent
Spacific	Alteration of open habitat the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid requires	Causal Certainty	High	
Specific Threat		Severity	High	
Stress	Reduced population size; local extinctions	Level of Concern	Medium	
5 Herbivory		Threat Attributes		
Threat	Natural processes or	Extent	Loca	alized
Category	y activities		Local	Range-wide
General	Grazing by deer and	Occurrence	Current	Unknown
Threat	insects	Frequency	Recurrent	Unknown
Specific	Loss of flowers and	Causal Certainty	Me	dium
Threat	seeds	Severity	Мос	lerate
Stress	Reduced reproductive success; reduced fitness	Level of Concern	Medium	
6 Sm	all Population Sizes		Threat Attributes	
Threat	Natural processes or	Extent	Unk	nown
Category	activities		Local	Range-wide
General	Naturally small	Occurrence	Unk	nown
Threat	populations	Frequency	Unk	nown
Specific	Inability to attract	Causal Certainty	L	ow
Threat	fortilization	Severity	Unknown	

Stress	Reduced fitness; loss of genetic diversity	Level of Concern	Lo	W	
7 Changes to Nutrient Regimes		Threat Attributes			
Threat During the		Extent	Extent Localized		
Category	Poliution		Local	Range-wide	
General		Occurrence	Anticipated	Unknown	
Threat	Agricultural runoli	Frequency	Unknown	Unknown	
	Increase in available	Causal Certainty	Lc	w	
Specific Threat	nitrogen and phosphorus) in otherwise nutrient-poor habitat	Severity	Unknown – potentially high		
Stress	Increased resource competition by shrubs and other plants (e.g., Typha spp.); reduced population viability	Level of Concern	Medium		
8 Hybridization			Threat Attributes		
Threat	Changes in ecological	Extent	Localized		
Category	processes		Local	Range-wide	
General	Interspecific cross-	Occurrence	Current	Unknown	
Threat pol	pollination	Frequency	Re-current	Unknown	
Specific	L h de vielize ation	Causal Certainty	Mec	lium	
Threat	Hybridization	Severity	Mode	erate	
Stress	Dilution of gene pool; poor reproductive success; reduced fitness	Level of Concern	Lc	)W	

#### Habitat loss to agriculture or development

Much of the habitat suitable for the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid has been lost through conversion to cropland and pasture, resulting in a severe reduction in population numbers (Bowles 1993). Drainage and development pose a threat to the habitat of this species in both Canada and the United States (Bowles 1993), unless used as part of a restoration or recovery program for Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid habitat. Loss of mesic and wet-mesic prairies to agriculture has continued since the species was first assessed (Brownell & Catling 2000).

While drainage has been the greatest threat historically, flooding can also alter habitat and in some cases destroy it. Intentional flooding (dams) and unintentional flooding (roads and railway beds) have both been documented as altering or potentially altering natural water-level dynamics in Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid habitat in eastern Ontario. Much, if not all, of the fen-based habitat is intimately linked to groundwater, and anything that affects the quantity or quality of groundwater can, over time, alter the habitat so that it may no longer be suitable for the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid. Pumping of groundwater (for residential, industrial or agricultural use) or altering groundwater in association with mineral aggregate extraction are potential concerns.

#### Invasive species

The preferred habitat of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid is very susceptible to invasion by several species. The most severely threatening invasive species is the nonnative subspecies of Common Reed (*Phragmites australis* ssp. *australis*). Invasion by Common Reed results in dense monospecific stands that outcompete and displace almost all native vegetation (Marks et al. 2004). Common Reed is known to be severely threatening populations of this orchid species in Kent County and is encroaching on the population at Minesing Swamp (Haggeman 2004, S. Robinson pers. comm. 2007). Common Reed has historically been present at the *Phragmites* fen in the Marlborough Forest and is not believed to present a threat at this location. Conversely, the native variant of Common Reed was documented in the Minesing Swamp in the 1960s (P. Catling, pers. comm.), but increased numbers that have been observed, especially in the non-native variant, are believed to be a threat to the orchid population at this swamp. Common Reed can be very aggressive and may be present at other sites that have not been monitored recently.

Glossy Buckthorn (*Rhamnus frangula*), threatens some eastern Ontario populations of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid, as confirmed by the change to fen communities at several sites, and by Purple Loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*), which is present in most fen complexes (COSEWIC 2003).

#### Recreational vehicles

Damage by recreational vehicles is known to have occurred at two sites at least. Currently, a snowmobile trail bisects one of the populations in eastern Ontario. Although this trail is used mainly in the winter when orchids are dormant, people have also been observed using ATVs on this trail (S. Thompson pers. comm. 2007). ATV use has also been documented as a threat to orchid populations in Bruce Peninsula National Park. It is not known whether the increased depth of freezing that occurs when snow is compacted (e.g., by snowmobiles) has any impact on the species.

#### Trampling

The Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid is very inconspicuous, and trampling by humans is probably a threat to several populations. If populations are monitored regularly, monitoring itself could cause physical damage to plants or to the habitat unless proper care is taken. At least two populations are well known locally and accessible to naturalists and photographers, although trampling has not been directly observed. Collection of plants by orchid enthusiasts or wildflower gardeners is a potential concern but has not been documented in Ontario. In the past, scientific collecting may have

been a concern, but as known sites are well documented and the species is easily identified from photographs, there is no valid scientific reason to collect plants.

#### Successional Change

Successional change represents a threat to populations of the Eastern Prairie Fringedorchid, as alterations to the community type and species composition make formerly suitable habitat less favourable. As woody vegetation invades the open habitat where the orchid grows, competition for space and light increases. The presence of woody vegetation in these habitats also presents a threat, as the increased cover reduces the chances of pollination by hawkmoths (Bowles 1993). In Ohio, Michigan and Ontario, open, abandoned fields where the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchids previously grew have been lost to successional changes (COSEWIC 2003). Management, such as prescribed burning, is required in late-successional prairie habitats to control the amount of encroaching woody vegetation (Bowles 1991).

Flooding caused by American Beaver (*Castor canadensis*) has been documented in the decline (at least in the short term) of a large population of the Eastern Prairie Fringedorchid in Bruce County and is suspected in the loss of lakeside fen habitat in eastern Ontario. It appears that plants can withstand at least short-term flooding (1+ years), but the length of time they can survive prolonged flooding is not known.

#### <u>Herbivory</u>

White-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) have been observed grazing on flowering stems of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid, and deer are abundant or increasing in population at some sites that the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid occupies (COSEWIC 2003, J. Haggeman pers. comm. 2007). Grazing by Eastern Cottontails (*Sylvilagus floridanus*) may also be occurring, and extensive insect damage to stems of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid has also been reported (Haggeman 2004).

The effects of herbivory on populations of this orchid in Ontario are not known (COSEWIC 2003), although cropping of plants early in the growing season may prevent budding and induce dormancy or death (Brownell & Catling 2000). While long-term grazing may inhibit plants from completing their life cycle, it has also been suggested that moderate grazing may encourage flowering while reducing competition (Zambrana Engineering Inc. 1998).

#### Small population sizes

Several populations of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid in Ontario have been consistently smaller than 10 flowering plants annually. Isolation and small population sizes may have a strong influence on reproduction of this species (Bowles et al. 2000). This is because smaller populations may not attract the appropriate pollinators, thus preventing fertilization. Inbreeding depression has also been shown to decrease seed viability (Wallace 2003). Despite being adapted for long-distance dispersal, it is doubtful that much genetic interaction between populations is occurring.

#### **Hybridization**

Hybridization between the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid and other species of the *Platanthera* genus may be a threat, as the hybrids may compete with parent plants for pollinators and lead to an increasingly diluted genome. Hybrids have been documented between Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid and Small Purple Fringed-orchid (*Platanthera psycodes*) which results in *Platanthera* x *reznicekii* and between Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid (*Platanthera psycodes*) which results in *Platanthera* x *reznicekii* and between Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid (*Platanthera x reznicekii* and between Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid and Green Fringed-orchid (*Platanthera lacera*) which results in *Platanthera* x *hollandiae*. Hybrids have been documented at two sites in Ontario (Catling & Brownell 1999, Catling et al. 1999).

#### Water Pollution

Increases in the availability of nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus) resulting from nutrientrich agricultural runoff may be causing habitat change, especially at poor fen sites (Marlborough Forest, Minesing Swamp and Holland Marsh) where nutrient levels are naturally low. The spread of species requiring more nutrient-rich conditions (e.g., *Typha* spp., shrubs and a variety of herbaceous marsh species) has been observed, although increases in nutrient availability to plants has not been confirmed (S. Thompson pers. comm. 2007). Eventually, increased nutrient loads could transform these open fen habitats into closed cattail marshes, shrub fens or thicket swamps, excluding the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid. Maintenance of roads and rights-of-way through Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid habitat results in salt and other inputs (herbicides), the impacts of which are unknown.

### 1.6 Recovery Actions Completed or Under Way

An evaluation and prioritization of populations of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid has been undertaken to inform recovery actions (Brownell 2002). Five populations ranked as high priority, 10 populations ranked as moderate priority and 14 ranked as low priority. Since this ranking study was completed, however, new sites have been discovered and the status of other sites has changed. A monitoring protocol has been developed for the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid in Ontario to assist monitoring of populations by using criteria that will allow trend-through-time and comparison analysis (Hunt 2003). A number of site-specific monitoring, management and planning initiatives have been undertaken across Ontario, although the monitoring protocol mentioned above has not necessarily been used for all projects since 2003.

### St. Clair National Wildlife Area

At the St. Clair National Wildlife Area (NWA), Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid populations have been monitored most years since 1991. Field work in 2003 revealed that the Griffore Prairie site and the St. Clair NWA are in fact one continuous population, which is now collectively referred to as the Kent County site, although they remain two separate element occurrences in the Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) database (J. Haggeman pers. comm. 2007).

Since the mid-1990s, habitat has been actively managed, with significant response. Burning at the Griffore Prairie on an almost annual basis seems to have helped maintain a large population of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid. Prescribed burning at the St. Clair NWA portion of the Kent County site has also resulted in a population increase – over 200 plants appeared after burning in an area where none had been observed for at least 12 years. Other management at the St. Clair NWA site, particularly spraying of the invasive variety of Common Reed with glyphosate, has also resulted in a positive response from the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid. Spraying of Common Reed followed by burning results in an even greater positive response. A burn plan established for the entire known area of the Kent County site was initiated due to the presence of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid.

#### Walpole Island

Recovery of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid on Walpole Island is guided by the Walpole Island Ecosystem Recovery Strategy, which provides direction for the recovery and protection of prairie, savannah, forest wetland and open-water ecosystems and their associated species (Bowles 2005). Monitoring of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid (as well as many other plant species at risk) has also occurred there in the past several years.

#### Ojibway Prairie

Prescribed burning at Ojibway Prairie Provincial Nature Reserve has been carried out several times since 1982, and "wildfires" occurred regularly before then. It is unknown whether the timing, frequency or intensity of the fire has had any impact on the apparent decline of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid at Ojibway Prairie. In 2007, this orchid was discovered at a new location in the Windsor area.

#### Bruce Peninsula National Park

The population of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid at George Lake in Bruce Peninsula National Park is used as an indicator of species diversity as part of the Ecological Integrity Monitoring Program that the Parks Canada Agency established. The monitoring protocol establishes methods to monitor population size, human disturbance, presence of invasive species, lake levels and ecological data on the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid with the goal of maintaining a viable population in the greater park ecosystem (Haselmayer 2005). Plant numbers in a fixed-area plot are monitored annually, and a partnership with local First Nations has resulted in stem counts for the orchid around George Lake. The George Lake population borders land managed by the Chippewas of Nawash and the Chippewas of Saugeen, and both of these groups have become respected partners in local conservation.

A rare plant management plan has also been completed for the park. The orchid population at Bruce Peninsula National Park is managed in compliance with Parks Canada Agency policy, as well as the *Canada National Parks Act*.

#### Central Ontario

Populations of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid at Minesing Swamp were monitored almost annually between 2000 and 2006. An occurrence of this orchid at Beaverdale Bog that had not been observed since the 1980s was found there in 2005. Two plants were located at sites on the Crown land portion in the Holland Marsh in 2005.

#### Eastern Ontario

In the Kemptville District of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources (OMNR), populations of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid (Long Swamp Fen, Marlborough Forest – Phragmites Fen, and Marlborough Forest – Richmond Fen) have been monitored as resources permit (Thompson 2005, MacDonald 2004). Searches were undertaken to locate the orchid at a site near Smith's Falls where it was documented historically. Although suitable habitat was found, no orchids were observed. Six additional sites with suitable habitat were also visited, but no plants were observed.

#### Province-wide

Through the work of organizations such as Tallgrass Ontario and the Rural Lambton Stewardship Network, a variety of prairie species, including the Eastern Prairie Fringedorchid, have been planted in an effort to restore communities (Hunt 2003). A recovery plan for tallgrass prairie communities in southern Ontario was prepared in 1998 to coordinate recovery efforts, share information, and encourage and expand the network of tallgrass prairies in southern Ontario (Rodger 1998).

### 1.7 Knowledge Gaps

### Survey and monitoring requirements

At present, accurate census information on populations of the Eastern Prairie Fringedorchid in Canada is incomplete. Preliminary monitoring guidelines have been developed (Hunt 2003) but have not been consistently implemented across all populations. This is partly because the guidelines are based on counting all flowering plants in a population, which is impractical for large populations. Existing protocols should be modified as follows to capture the information needed and improve data consistency:

- Determine more accurately the actual population at each site, including both the number(s) of plants and the geographic extent of the population(s). Although conducting two surveys per season, rather than one, would determine populations more accurately, that would entail an intensive workload and is probably unrealistic, given human resource requirements, the difficulty in accessing some sites and the size of a few of the populations.
- Develop a scientifically defensible protocol for sampling, over several years, large populations and/or sparse populations that are spread over large areas.
- At each site, monitor active threats, land ownership, and habitat status and size (Brownell 2002).

- Survey areas of suitable habitat, since discovering new populations is still possible.
- Address inconsistencies in naming and geographical referencing of populations and sub-populations to permit comparative analyses of population trends.
- Complete the ranking of sites, using new information collected, as more sites have been discovered and more information collected since the ranking study was conducted.
- Complete minimum viable population analyses.
- Measure soils and mycorrhizial layers.

#### Research requirements

Over the past several decades, a great deal of research has been undertaken on the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid in the United States (where the species is federally threatened). Relatively little research has occurred on Canadian populations, however, and much remains unknown about them.

- Effects of fire: The Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid adapts to disturbances, such as fire, while dormant, but damage during the growing season may affect plant survival (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999). Burning during the dormant season or in years when rainfall in the growing season is high would probably promote flowering in tallgrass prairie wetlands and possibly in fens. At the Kent County site, the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid appears to respond positively to early spring burning (J. Haggeman pers. comm. 2007). The beneficial effects of burning on this species should be carefully studied to determine the significance and correct timing of such burns, as well as their impact on other species.
- *Role of disturbance:* Disturbance appears to play a significant role in the establishment of Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid seedlings by creating open areas with reduced competition (U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service 1999). The role of disturbance should be investigated to guide the development of management prescriptions for maintaining adequate quantities of suitable habitat.
- Role of fluctuation of the water table: Some Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid populations are found in fens or wet prairies, which have a history of short or longer term fluctuation of the water table; other populations appear to be in wetlands (usually fens) with relatively stable water tables. The role of water levels needs to be investigated and quantified. Water-level monitoring gauges should be installed in wetlands containing significant populations of this orchid.
- *Role of pollinators:* Pollination by night-flying hawkmoths is imperative to the long-term survival of Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchids. Although hawkmoth studies have been completed in the United States, the hawkmoth species that visit Canadian populations of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid are unknown. Such information can help predict Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid population trends, and allow recovery efforts to be focused where pollinators are present.

- Genetic variation: Genetic variation among populations of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid has been studied in Michigan, Ohio and Illinois (Wallace 2002, Havens & Bradford 2001, Havens & Buerkle 1999). The distance separating populations in Ontario may result in colonies that are strongly differentiated genetically.
- *Nutrient enrichment at fen sites:* Permanent plots and photographic plots should be established at fen sites so that vegetation change can be examined over time. To assist in determining future vegetation trends, photographs taken over the past 55 years should be analyzed and used to map changes in vegetation that have occurred in and around fen sites during that time.

### 2.0 RECOVERY

### 2.1 Recovery Goal

The recovery goal for the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid is to prevent any further loss of populations and habitat and habitat functionality, to reverse the declining population trends at extant locations and to restore occurrences at historic sites, where appropriate, within the species' Canadian range.

### 2.2 Protection and Recovery Objectives

The recovery goal requires that populations of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid be maintained throughout the species' currently known Canadian range – that is, at all 21 sites where it is believed to be extant. Since stem counts of this orchid may vary widely between years, even at the same site, specific numerical population objectives have not been suggested. Insufficient data exists to suggest total population numbers that would be meaningful or reliable.

No.	Protection or Recovery Objective
1.	Protect Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid populations, habitat and habitat functionality at all extant locations.
2.	Report regularly on the status of this species, using the best available scientific information.
3.	Reduce or eliminate threats at extant sites.
4.	Conduct research on Canadian populations of this species to address knowledge gaps.
5.	Restore habitat and reintroduce the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid where appropriate and feasible.

Table 2. Protection and recovery objectives

# 2.3 Approaches to Recovery

#### Table 3. Approaches to recovery of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid in Ontario

Priority	Objective Number	Recovery Theme	Approach to Recovery	Threats Addressed
High	1	Habitat protection	<ul> <li>Identify high-priority private sites and secure them through conservation easements, stewardship agreements or land acquisition</li> <li>Prioritize sites according to urgency</li> <li>Identify and contact private landowners.</li> <li>Determine the ideal protection strategy (easement, acquisition, stewardship) for each site</li> </ul>	Habitat loss
Medium	1	Habitat protection	Work with municipalities and other planning agencies to protect habitat and populations through municipal land use planning processes and the Conservation Land Tax Incentive Program (CLTIP), or other land incentive programs	Habitat loss
			<ul> <li>Opdate of complete wetland evaluations and prairie community assessments to determine their significance and the potential for protection under the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)</li> <li>Provide habitat mapping and/or wetland and prairie community maps to municipalities and other planning agencies</li> <li>Work with municipalities to adopt protection in official plans, other planning documents and municipal plan review processes</li> <li>Work with municipalities to assist in preserving wetlands and in protecting lands adjacent to them</li> <li>Work with CLTIP and municipalities to identify sites eligible for CLTIP, and encourage landowners to participate in the program</li> <li>Explore the use of other land incentives such as sacrament/easement options, ecogifts programs, etc.</li> </ul>	
Medium	1	Habitat protection	Work with municipalities to create awareness of and protect populations on municipal road allowances through changes to maintenance schedules	Habitat loss
High	1	Habitat protection	<ul> <li>Encourage the OMNR to undertake the legal protection of this species and its habitat</li> <li>Regulate species habitat under the provincial <i>Endangered Species Act</i>, 2007 (ESA 2007)</li> <li>Develop and apply habitat mapping guidelines to identify, describe and delineate habitat for protection</li> </ul>	Habitat loss

Priority	Objective	Recovery	Approach to Recovery	Threats Addressed
High	3	Threat clarification Management	<ul> <li>Develop and implement management actions to maintain or increase populations at extant locations</li> <li>Identify and assess known threats at all extant sites</li> <li>Work with owners/managers to develop site-specific management plans to address threats through management (e.g., prescribed burns, invasive species control)</li> <li>Monitor sites to assess the effects of actions</li> <li>Adapt management in response to monitoring results</li> <li>Report on management actions and outcomes</li> </ul>	All threats, especially invasive species, succession, use of recreational vehicles, trampling, herbivory
Low	1,3	Habitat protection	<ul> <li>Ensure that protection and recovery approaches are identified in management plans for all federal, provincial and municipal lands</li> <li>Identify appropriate zoning and activities in national and provincial park management and resource stewardship plans</li> <li>Incorporate management into NWA management plans</li> </ul>	Habitat loss, invasive species, use of recreational vehicles, trampling
High	1, 5	Habitat protection	Work together with the Walpole Island Recovery Team to assist in managing and recovering populations on Walpole First Nations lands	All threats
High	2,3	Surveying and monitoring	<ul> <li>Develop and implement a monitoring program to assess changes in populations and habitats over time</li> <li>Adopt a monitoring protocol</li> <li>Complete monitoring at sites and at intervals as prescribed by the monitoring protocol</li> <li>Report monitoring results annually, and assess trends in populations, area of occupancy and habitat condition (threats) every five years</li> <li>Submit all data to the NHIC</li> </ul>	All threats
Medium	2	Surveying and monitoring	<ul> <li>Conduct surveys to locate new populations</li> <li>Use current knowledge of habitat to develop a GIS model of predicted occurrences in the historical range</li> <li>Work with First Nations communities to survey known areas of potential habitat to determine the presence or absence of the species and locate new populations</li> <li>Conduct field surveys in target areas over at least two field seasons to determine whether the species is present</li> <li>Document any new occurrences of the species and submit all data to the NHIC</li> <li>Use the findings of research on habitat requirements to refine the model</li> </ul>	All threats

Priority	Objective Number	Recovery Theme	Approach to Recovery	Threats Addressed
High	4	Applied research	<ul> <li>Assess and summarize existing knowledge of the species and identify research needs for Ontario populations to inform recovery efforts and support adaptive management approaches</li> <li>Complete a literature review</li> <li>Identify top research priorities</li> <li>Support funding applications as opportunities arise</li> </ul>	All threats, especially those that represent knowledge gaps
Medium	5	Restoration	<ul> <li>Identify sites with potential for habitat restoration (especially prescribed burns)</li> <li>Where feasible, conduct restoration, carefully monitor results and share information</li> </ul>	All threats
Low	5	Reintroduction	<ul> <li>Investigate the feasibility of reintroducing populations to historic habitats</li> <li>Assess the need for reintroductions and identify targets as appropriate (e.g., is the species extant in all ecodistricts and habitat types in which it occurred historically?)</li> <li>Develop site-specific criteria (e.g., ownership, threats, habitat type and condition, size, costs) for assessing the feasibility of historic sites for reintroductions</li> <li>Prioritize historic sites for reintroductions</li> <li>Develop site-specific reintroduction strategies, including identification of research needs (e.g., propagation techniques)</li> <li>Implement reintroduction strategies at high-priority sites</li> </ul>	All threats
Low	5	Communication	<ul> <li>Provide information to stakeholders to increase awareness of this orchid</li> <li>Identify audiences with the most potential for conservation (e.g., landowners) and gauge their awareness and information needs</li> <li>Identify appropriate means of communicating with target audiences (e.g., workshops, newsletters, public meetings)</li> </ul>	All threats

### 2.4 Performance Measures

Evaluation should include the extent to which goals and objectives have been met. Specific measures are detailed in table 4 below.

Approach	Evaluation
Population data and habitat mapping	Updated population information collected for all extant sites by 2010.
Habitat monitoring and reporting	Standard monitoring methods implemented by 2010. Each population assessed for threats by 2010. Historic sites revisited by 2011 to confirm habitat suitability. Recovery potential of historic sites assessed by 2010.
Condition of populations	Surveys carried out to demonstrate no losses of populations and no net decrease in the number of plants at priority sites. (Because of the year-to-year variability in the emergence and flowering of this species, surveys must extend over two or more seasons.)
Effectiveness of habitat protection measures	At least one additional population (either newly identified or a restored historical site) "protected" through stewardship, management or acquisition by 2011.
Stewardship efforts and communication	Municipalities and affected landowners become aware of Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid habitat. Outreach material developed and delivered by 2010.

 Table 4. Performance measures for evaluating recovery success

### 2.5 Area for Consideration in Developing a Habitat Regulation

Under the ESA 2007, a recovery strategy must include a recommendation to the Minister of Natural Resources on the area that should be considered in developing a habitat regulation. A habitat regulation is a legal instrument that prescribes an area that will be protected as the habitat of the species. The recommendation provided below by the author will be one of many sources considered by the Minister when developing the habitat regulation for this species.

In Ontario, the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid has very narrow habitat preferences and consequently only limited areas are suitable. This orchid's microhabitat has been described in Ontario as existing in three general areas: fens, tallgrass prairie and moist old fields (S. Brinker pers. comm. 2008). The habitat characteristics within these major habitat types differ throughout the species' range in the province. The following descriptions summarize the habitat characteristics that have been documented from currently occupied sites. It is recommended that areas with current or historic occurrences where appropriate habitat of the Eastern Prairie fringed-orchid remains be considered in the development of a habitat regulation. The specific boundaries of each of these areas should be determined at a site-specific level on the basis of one of these descriptions.

It is also recommended that the habitat regulation be written so that it is flexible enough to immediately protect newly discovered occurrences, using a similar site-specific approach for habitat delineation.

#### <u>Fens</u>

- Open bedrock mineral shoreline fen dominated by Fresh Water Cordgrass (Spartina pectinata), Twig Rush (Cladium mariscoides), Switch Grass (Panicum virgatum), Kalm St. John's-wort (Hypericum kalmianum), Shrubby Cinquefoil (Potentilla fruticosa), Tufted Hairgrass (Deschampsia cespitosa), Little Green Sedge (Carex viridula), Lindheimer's Panic Grass (Panicum acuminatum var. lindheimeri), Richardson Rush (Juncus alpinoarticulatus), Swamp Birch (Betula pumila), Kalm's Lobelia (Lobelia kalmii), Four-flowered Loosestrife (Lysimachia quadriflora), Bird's-eye Primrose (Primula mistassinica), Balsam Ragweed (Senecio pauperculus), Common Sneezeweed (Helenium autumnale), Prairie Goldenrod (Solidago ptarmicoides) and Northern Bugleweed (Lycopus uniflorus).
- Open Northern White Cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*)–Tamarack (*Larix laricina*) peatland fens with sedges (*Carex* spp.), particularly Wire Sedge (*C. lasiocarpa*), and Livid Sedge (*C. livida*), sphagnum mosses (*Sphagnum* spp.), low ericaceous shrubs and willows (*Salix* spp.).
- Deep peat-based organic soils in open graminoid fens with the following associated species: Wire Sedge, Yellow Sedge (*Carex flava*), Common Reed (*Phragmites australis* ssp. *americanus*), sphagnum mosses, Sweet Gale (*Myrica gale*), Small Purple-fringed Orchid, the hybrid fringed-orchid (*Platanthera* x. *reznicekii*), Northern Pitcher-plant (*Sarracenia purpurea*), Bog Buckbean (*Menyanthes trifoliata*), Royal Fern (*Osmunda regalis*), Twig Rush, cottongrass (*Eriophorum* spp.), Dragon's Mouth (*Arethusa bulbosa*), Rose Pogonia (*Pogonia ophioglossoides*), Spotted Joe-pye Weed (*Eupatorium maculatum*), Boneset (*Eupatorium perfoliatum*), Labrador Tea (*Ledum groenlandicum*), Leatherleaf (*Chamaedaphne calyculata*), Narrow-leaved Meadow-sweet (*Spiraea alba*), Bog Goldenrod (*Solidago uliginosa*), Rough Goldenrod (*Solidago rugosa*), Red-osier Dogwood (*Cornus stolonifera*), Bog Rosemary (*Andromeda polifolia*), Marsh Bellflower (*Campanula aparinoides*), Tamarack and Northern White Cedar.
- Deep peat-based organic soils in open graminoid fen dominated by sphagnum mosses, Wire Sedge, Coast Sedge (*Carex exilis*), Swamp Birch, Leatherleaf and Tamarack. Other less common associates include Sweet Gale, Northern Pitcherplant, Bog Buckbean, Bog Rosemary, Sheep-laurel (*Kalmia polifolia*), Royal Fern, Twig Rush, cottongrass, Dragon's Mouth, Rose Pogonia, Spotted Joe-pye Weed, Boneset, Labrador Tea, Narrow-leaved Meadow-sweet, Bog Goldenrod, Rough Goldenrod, Red-osier Dogwood, Marsh Bellflower, blueberry (*Vaccinium* spp.), Hoary Willow (*Salix candida*) and Grass-pink (*Calopogon tuberosus*).
- Open Wire Sedge fen dominated by Sweet Gale and Marsh Fern (*Thelypteris palustris*), with the following associates: Bog Willow (*Salix pedicellaris*), Red-

osier Dogwood, Narrow-leaved Meadow-sweet, Swamp Birch, Royal Fern, Bog Goldenrod, Marsh St. John's-wort (*Triadenum fraseri*), Marsh Cinquefoil (*Potentilla palustris*), Blue-joint Reedgrass (*Calamagrostis canadensis*), Water Sedge (*Carex aquatilis*), Water Horsetail (*Equisetum fluviatile*), Northern Reedgrass (*Calamagrostis stricta* ssp. *inexpansa*), Blueflag (*Iris versicolor*), Rough Bentgrass (*Agrostis scabra*), Marsh Bellflower and Marsh Vetchling (*Lathyrus palustris*).

- Shallow peat and marl deposits over bedrock in open graminoid fen dominated by Fresh Water Cordgrass, Twig Rush, Switch Grass, Tufted Hairgrass, Little Green Sedge, Bald Spikerush (*Eleocharis erythropoda*), Common Sneezeweed, Silverweed (*Potentilla anserina*), Four-flowered Loosestrife, Rush Aster (*Symphyotrichum boreale*), Hooded Ladies'-tresses (*Spiranthes romanzoffiana*) and Blueflag.
- Open graminoid fen dominated by Common Reed with sedges and other vegetation such as Marsh Fern, Marsh Marigold (*Caltha palustris*), Bog Buckbean, Water Horsetail, Swamp Milkweed (*Asclepias incarnata*), Spotted Joe-pye Weed, Hooded Skullcap (*Scutellaria galericulata*), Northern Bugleweed (*Lycopus uniflorus*), Marsh Bedstraw (*Galium palustre*), Royal Fern, Swamp Rose (*Rosa palustris*) and Blueflag.

#### Tallgrass Prairie

- Moist to wet tallgrass prairie dominated by Big Bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*) and Little Bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*).
- Fresh-moist tallgrass prairie with combinations of the following species: Big Bluestem, Little Bluestem, Indian Grass (Sorghastrum nutans), Fresh Water Cordgrass, Stiff Goldenrod (Solidago rigida), Riddell's Goldenrod (S. riddellii), other goldenrods (Solidago spp.), asters (Aster spp.), panic grasses (Panicum spp.), Holy Grass (*Hierochloe odorata*), Whorled Loosestrife (*Lysimachia*) guadrifolia), Culver's-root (Veronicastrum virginicum), Ironweed (Vernonia missurica), Eastern Yellow Star Grass (Hypoxis hirsuta), Slender-leaved Agalinis (Agalinis tenuifolia), Skinner's Purple False Foxglove (A. skinneriana), Gattinger's False Foxglove (A. gattingeri), Sullivant's Milkweed (Asclepias sullivantii), Small White Lady's-slipper (Cypripedium candidum), Small Yellow Lady's-slipper (C. calceolus var. parviflorum), blue-eyed-grasses (Sisyrinchium spp.), Ohio Spiderwort (Tradescantia ohiensis), Low Nutrush (Scleria verticillata), Great Plains Ladies'-tresses (Spiranthes magnicamporum), Colicroot (Aletris farinosa), Showy Tick-trefoil (Desmodium canadense), Winged Loosestrife (Lythrum alatum), Spiked Blazing Star (Liatris spicata), Black-eyed Susan (Rudbeckia hirta), Wild Bergamot (Monarda fistulosa) and Blue-joint Reedgrass.
- Fresh-moist tallgrass prairie dominated by Fresh Water Cordgrass, Indian Grass, Big Bluestem, mountain-mints (*Pycnanthemum* spp.) and goldenrods, along with the following secondary species: Sullivant's Milkweed, Bushy Aster

(*Symphyotrichum dumosum*), Field Thistle (*Cirsium discolour*), Eastern Yellow Star Grass, Four-flowered Loosestrife, Riddell's Goldenrod, Stiff Goldenrod and numerous other prairie indicator species.

- Fresh-moist tallgrass prairie/cattail organic shallow marsh dominated by goldenrods, cattails, bulrushes (*Scirpus* spp.), Reed Canary Grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*) and goldenrod (*Euthamia spp.*).
- Mesic tallgrass prairie over fine or loamy sand with a combination of species such as Prairie Dock (*Silphium terebinthinaceum*), bentgrass (*Agrostis* spp.), Stiff Goldenrod and other more common goldenrods, Blue-joint Reedgrass, Big Bluestem, Bottle Gentian (*Gentiana andrewsii*), Eastern Yellow Star Grass, loosestrife (*Lysimachia* spp.), Sullivant's Milkweed, Field Thistle, Spreading Dogbane (*Apocynum androsaemifolium*), panic grasses, Fresh Water Cordgrass, asters, Common Sneezeweed and mountain-mints.

#### Moist Old Fields

- Moist old fields dominated by Canada Bluegrass, Woolly Sedge, rushes (*Juncus* spp.) and scattered shrubs.
- Cultural dry-mesic old field meadow or cultural thicket with the following species: goldenrods, asters and exotic graminoids with Gray Dogwood (*Cornus foemina*) and Staghorn Sumac (*Rhus typhina*).
- Cultural dry-moist old field meadow with species including various exotic graminoids, goldenrods, dogwoods (*Cornus* spp.) and willows.
- Bedrock cultural thicket/swamp over sedimentary limestone with willows and dogwoods as dominants, including Meadow Willow (*Salix petiolaris*), Pussy Willow (*Salix discolour*), Red-osier Dogwood, Narrow-leaved Meadow-sweet, Purple Loosestrife, goldenrods, Common Yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*), Swamp Milkweed, St. John's-wort (*Hypericum perforatum*), Foxglove Beardtongue (*Penstemon digitalis*), Marsh Bedstraw (*Galium palustre*), Queen Anne's Lace (*Daucus carota*), Yellow Sedge, Inland Sedge (*Carex interior*), Timothy (*Phleum pratense*), spikerush (*Eleocharis* spp.) and Field Horsetail (*Equisetum arvense*).

### 2.6 Effects on Other Species

The Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid is associated with rare habitat types (wet prairies and fens) that are ecologically diverse. In southwestern Ontario, and especially at sites on Walpole Island, St. Clair NWA and Ojibway Prairie, the Eastern Prairie Fringedorchid grows in close proximity to known occurrences for many species at risk. These include Small White Lady's-slipper (*Cypripedium candidum*), Pink Milkwort (*Polygala incarnata*), Skinner's Agalinis (*Agalinis skinneriana*), Gattinger's Agalinis (*Agalinis gattingeri*), Colicroot, Dense Blazing-star (*Liatris spicata*), Climbing Prairie Rose (*Rosa*) setigera), Riddell's Goldenrod, Willowleaf Aster (*Symphyotrichum praealtum*), Henslow's Sparrow (*Ammodramus henslowii*), Northern Bobwhite (*Colinus virginianus*), Spotted Turtle (*Clemmys guttata*), Blanding's Turtle (*Emydoidea blandingii*), Butler's Gartersnake (*Thamnophis butleri*), Eastern Foxsnake (*Elaphe (vulpina) gloydi*), Massasauga (*Sistrurus catenatus*), Grey Fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*), Red-headed Woodpecker (*Melanerpes erythrocephalus*), Yellow-breasted Chat (*Icteria virens virens*) and Monarch Butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*), as well as a variety of small mammals. An American Badger has been reported at one of the southwestern Ontario sites. Almost 20 rare (S1–S3) plant species are present where the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid occurs, and numerous rare insect species probably are also associated with many of the sites where it grows. In other parts of Ontario, several turtle species at risk may be associated with the fen habitat of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid.

Clearly, the conservation and management of these habitats is expected to benefit many species dependent on them. Some species at risk (e.g., Riddell's Goldenrod, Willowleaf Aster) were newly recorded (in 2007) at St. Clair NWA, probably as a result of prescribed burning undertaken to restore habitat.

Some management activities, however, including prescribed burns and control of invasive species such as Common Reed using herbicides, have the potential to harm some species at risk, especially fauna, at least in the short term. The ecological risks of such activities must be considered individually before undertaking them, in order to reduce possible negative effects. For example, the timing of management activities can be controlled (e.g., prescribed burning in the early spring) to minimize effects on amphibians and reptiles. Research into the population biology, ecology and specific habitat requirements of the Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid may also provide information to improve orchid habitat, while minimizing effects on other species.

### GLOSSARY

Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC): The committee responsible for assessing and classifying species at risk in Canada.

Committee on the Status of Species at Risk in Ontario (COSSARO): The committee established under section 3 of the *Endangered Species Act, 2007* that is responsible for assessing and classifying species at risk in Ontario.

- Conservation status rank: A rank assigned to a species or ecological community that primarily conveys the degree of rarity of the species or community at the global (G), national (N) or subnational (S) level. These ranks, termed G-rank, N-rank and S-rank, are not legal designations. The conservation status of a species or ecosystem is designated by a number from 1 to 5, preceded by the letter G, N or S reflecting the appropriate geographic scale of the assessment. The numbers mean the following:
  - 1 = critically imperilled
  - 2 = imperilled
  - 3 = vulnerable
  - 4 = apparently secure
  - 5 = secure
- *Endangered Species Act, 2007* (ESA 2007): The provincial legislation that provides protection to species at risk in Ontario.
- Species at Risk Act (SARA): The federal legislation that provides protection to species at risk in Canada. This act establishes Schedule 1 as the legal list of wildlife species at risk to which the SARA provisions apply. Schedules 2 and 3 contain lists of species that at the time the act came into force needed to be reassessed. After species on Schedule 2 and 3 are reassessed and found to be at risk, they undergo the SARA listing process to be included in Schedule 1.
- Species at Risk in Ontario (SARO) List: The regulation made under section 7 of the *Endangered Species Act, 2007* that provides the official status classification of species at risk in Ontario. This list was first published in 2004 as a policy and became a regulation in 2008.

### REFERENCES

- Bowles, J.M. 2005. Walpole Island Ecosystem Recovery Strategy (Draft 8). Prepared for Walpole Island Heritage Centre, Environment Canada, and the Walpole Island Recovery Team. 43 pp.
- Bowles, M., R. Flakne, and R. Dombeck. 1992. Status and population fluctuations of the eastern prairie fringed orchid [*Platanthera leucophaea* (Nutt.) Lindl.] in Illinois. Erigenia 12:26-40.
- Bowles, M., K. Jacobs, L. Zettler, and T.W. Delaney. 2000. Seed Viability and Germination of the Federal Threatened Eastern Prairie Fringed Orchid *Platanthera leucophaea* (Nuttall) Lindley. Unpublished report prepared for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Bowles, M.L. 1983. The tallgrass prairie orchids *Platanthera leucophaea* (Nutt.) Lindl. and *Cypripedium candidum* Muhl. ex Wild.: some aspects of their status, biology, and ecology and implications toward management. Natural Areas Journal 3(4):14-37.
- Bowles, M.L. 1991. Some aspects of the status and ecology of seven rare wetland plant species in the Chicago region of northeastern Illinois. Erigenia 11:52-66.
- Bowles, M.L. 1993. Recovery Plan for the Eastern Prairie White Fringed Orchid, *Platanthera leucophaea* (Nuttall) Lindley. Recovery Plan prepared for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 3, Minneapolis, Minnesota. 53 pp. + appendices.
- Bowles, M.L., L. Zettler, T. Bell, and P. Kelsey. 2005. Relationships between soil characteristics, distribution and restoration potential of the federal threatened Eastern Prairie Fringed Orchid, *Platanthera leucophaea* (Nutt.) Lindl. American Midland Naturalist 154(2): 273-285.
- Brownell, V.R. 2002. Evaluation and Prioritization for Canadian Populations of Eastern Prairie Fringed Orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*). 16 pp.
- Brownell, V.R., and P.M. Catling. 2000. Update Status Report on Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*). Funded by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. 32 pp.
- Case, F.W. Jr. 1987. Orchids of the western Great Lakes Region, 2nd edition. Cranbrook Institute of Science. Bulletin 48. Bloomfield Hills, Michigan. 251 pp.
- Catling, P.M., and V.R. Brownell. 1999. *Platanthera lacera x leucophaea*, a new cryptic natural hybrid, and a key to northeastern North American fringed-orchids. Canadian Journal of Botany 77:1144-1149.

- Catling, P.M., V.R. Brownell, and G. Allen. 1999. A new natural hybrid fringed-orchid from Ontario. Lindleyana 14(2):77-86.
- Chang, C., Y.C. Chen, and H.F. Yen. 2005. Protocorm or rhizome? The morphology of seed germination in *Cymbidium dayanum* Reichb. Botanical Bulletin of Academia Sinica 46:71-74. http://ejournal.sinica.edu.tw/bbas/content/2005/1/Bot461-09.pdf. Accessed February 8, 2007.
- COSEWIC. 2003. COSEWIC assessment and update status report on the eastern prairie fringed-orchid *Platanthera leucophaea* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. vi + 27 pp.
- Crosson, A.E., J.C. Dunford, and D.K. Young. 1999. Pollination and other insect interactions of the Eastern Prairie Fringed Orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea* (Nuttall) Lindl.) in Wisconsin. Report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Cuthrell, D.L., P.J. Higman, and M.R. Penskar. 1999. The pollinators of Ohio and Michigan populations of Eastern Prairie Fringed orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*). Michigan Natural Features Inventory, Lansing, Michigan. 20 pp.
- Environment Canada. 2006. Species at Risk: Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca/species/speciesDetails\_e.cfm?sid=248. Accessed December 20, 2006.
- Flora of North America. 2008. http://www.efloras.org/flora\_page.aspx?flora\_id=1. Accessed January 9, 2009.
- Haggeman, J. 2004. Notes on Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid: Biology and Response to Management. St. Clair National Wildlife Area.
- Haselmayer, J. 2005. Eastern Prairie Fringed Orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*) Monitoring Report: Ecological Integrity Monitoring Program Report. Bruce Peninsula National Park. 6 pp.
- Havens, K., and K. Bradford. 2001. A Population Genetic Analysis of *Platanthera leucophaea* in Northern Illinois. Report to the Illinois Department of Natural Resources.
- Havens, K., and A. Buerkle. 1999. A Population Genetic Analysis of *Platanthera leucophaea* in Northeastern Illinois. Report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Hunt, L. 2003. Eastern Prairie White Fringed Orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*) Site Survey Report 2002, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources Southcentral Region. Unpublished report.
- Keibler, J., A. Orton-Palmer, and L. Ross. 1993. Restoration of the eastern prairie fringed orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*) by seed broadcast and management

initiatives. Unpublished report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Barrington, Illinois.

- MacDonald, E. 2004. Eastern Prairie Fringed Orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*) Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources Southern Region Monitoring Report 2003-04.
   Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Kemptville, Ontario. Unpublished report, 13 pp.
- Marks, M., B. Lapin, and J. Randall. 2004. Element Stewardship Abstract for *Phragmites australis* (Common Reed). The Nature Conservancy, Arlington, Virginia.
- NHIC (Natural Heritage Information Centre). 2007. Species: *Platanthera leucophaea*. http://nhic.mnr.gov.on.ca/MNR/nhic/elements/el\_report.cfm?elid=39106#species. Accessed January 24, 2007.
- NatureServe. 2009. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life (web application). Version 1.8. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. http://www.natureserve.org/explorer. Accessed February 9, 2009.
- Oldham, M. 2000. COSSARO Candidate VTE Species Evaluation Form for Eastern Prairie Fringed Orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*). Natural Heritage Information Centre, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Peterborough.
- Riley, J.L. 1989. Southern Ontario bogs and fens off the Canadian Shield. Pp. 355-367 in M.J. Bardecki and N. Patterson (eds.). Wetlands: inertia or momentum. Federation of Ontario Naturalists, Don Mills, Ontario. 426 pp.
- Rodger, L. 1998. Tallgrass Communities of Southern Ontario: A Recovery Plan. Prepared for World Wildlife Fund Canada and the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. 66 pages.
- Sharma, J., L.W. Zettler, J.W. Van Sambeek, M. Ellersieck, and C.J. Starbuck. 2003. Symbiotic seed germination and mycorrhizae of federally threatened *Platanthera praeclara*. American Midland Naturalist 149:104-120.
- Sieg, C.H., and R.M. King. 1995. Influence of environmental factors and preliminary demographic analyses of a threatened orchid, *Platanthera praeclara*. American Midland Naturalist 134:307-323.
- Thompson, M. 2005. Eastern Prairie Fringed-orchid Inventory and Monitoring Project 2004-05. Unpublished report to Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources Kemptville District.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999. Eastern Prairie Fringed Orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*) Recovery Plan. Fort Snelling, Minnesota. 62 pp.

- Wallace, L.E. 2002. Examining the effects of fragmentation on genetic variation in *Platanthera leucophaea* (Orchidaceae): inferences from allozyme and random amplified polymorphic DNA markers. Plant Species Biology 17:37-49.
- Wallace, L.E. 2003. The cost of inbreeding in *Platanthera leucophaea* (Orchidaceae). American Journal of Botany 90(2):235-242.
- Zambrana Engineering Inc. 1998. Assessment of the Reintroduction Potential of Five Federally Threatened and Endangered Plant Species at Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie. Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie. http://www.fs.fed.us/mntp/speciesreintro/index.htm.
- Zettler, L.W., S.L. Stewart, M.L. Bowles, and K.A. Jacobs. 2001. Mycorrhizal fungi and cold-assisted symbiotic germination of the federally threatened Eastern Prairie Fringed Orchid, *Platanthera leucophaea* (Nuttall) Lindley. American Midland Naturalist 145:168-175.

#### PERSONAL COMMUNICATION:

- Brinker, S. pers. comm. 2008. Project Biologist, Natural Heritage Information Centre, Ministry of Natural Resources, Peterborough, Ontario.
- Catling, P.M. pers. comm. Research Scientist, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Ottawa, Ontario.
- Haggeman, J. pers. comm. 2007. Wildlife Area Officer, St. Clair National Wildlife Area, Environment Canada, Canadian Wildlife Service – Ontario.
- Robinson, S. pers. comm. 2007. Species at Risk Biologist, Midhurst District, Ministry of Natural Resources, Midhurst, Ontario.
- Thompson, S. pers. comm. 2007. District Ecologist, Kemptville District, Ministry of Natural Resources, Kemptville, Ontario.

# **RECOVERY STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT TEAM MEMBERS**

NAME	AFFILIATION and LOCATION
Shaun Thompson (chair)	OMNR, Kemptville District
Frank Burrows	Bruce Peninsula National Park
Corina Brdar	OMNR, Ontario Parks
John Haggeman	Environment Canada, Canadian Wildlife Service – Ontario, St. Clair National Wildlife Area
Dan Lebedyck	Essex Region Conservation Authority
Clint Jacobs	Walpole Island First Nation
Deb Jacobs	Ministry of the Environment
Angela McConnell	Environment Canada, Canadian Wildlife Service – Ontario
Todd Norris	OMNR, Peterborough District
Paul Pratt	City of Windsor, Parks and Recreation Department
Suzanne Robinson	OMNR, Midhurst District
Steve Varga	OMNR, Aurora District
Allen Woodliffe	OMNR, Aylmer District
Associate Members and Additional Contacts	
Dr. Paul Catling	Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, Ontario
Don Cuddy	Consultant
Leslie Hunt	Biological Consultant
Kirsten Querbach	Parks Canada Agency, Peterborough, Ontario
Gerry Waldron	Consultant